G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. (1922 Nagpur) **File No.** 338 22

Serial Nos. 1—7.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 7.

Part B for November 1924

SUBJECT

Recommends the construction of a new mosque at Bichangaon in the Municipal Taluqa.

No 625-7 dated 3.11.1924

To the Nagp. Comr
" Rev. Comr
" Comptr & Govt.

Govt approval of the proposal of the Rev. Comr. & are pleased to accord sanction for the construction

F. R. S. 11] 1922

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mezpai)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Construction of a new mosque at Bidanapse in the Kurnigal Taluk.

From

The Asst. Comr

C No. 905 Dated 16-1-23 Received 18-1-23.

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1458			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for favour of orders, the Dy. Comrs. Communication recommending permission for the Construction of the mosque in question.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1 The Dy. Comrs. letter explains the facts of the case. The Asst. Comr has forwarded it without his own opinion on the Dy. Comrs recommendation. May his opinion be called

NOTES—First Sheet.

1458

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

The Dy. Comr. has proposed opening of new road etc. with a view to avoid future bounties re play of music etc. by Parties of Cricket, the Mag Comr. will be requested to select if possible, an unobjectionable site for the construction of the proposed

3 The Mag Comr. has submitted the papers for orders without expressing his opinion in the matter. As Rev Comr. his views in regard to the acqn. propo. resp. to para 4 of the dy comrs letter and the opening of a new separate approach road for the Hindus to take their procession setting apart exclusively for Mahomedan processions of the present road, will have to be obtd.

4 Cannot another site quite unobjectionable from all points of view be selected for being given to the Mahomedans for the purpose?

5 May the Mun & Rev Comr. be consulted in the matter and his

Sd
25/1/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No. 338 of 1922

Serial No.	
1	Draft. Enclosure = 29-1-23 30/1/23 to Home Dept.
9.	Draft: annexe 26/28/1/23. Jaun 22/2/23
2	No 2340 dt 21/2/23 to the Rev. Comm. Requests to furnish his views re the acquisition of S Nos. 43 & 44 referred to in para 4 of DCS Communication —
3.	No. 2341 dt 21/2/23 to the Mar. Comm Enquiries whether any objection from the points of view Comm. the selected for being given to the Mahamedans for the Friday-prayers.
4.	From, the Municipal Letter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 338 of 19 21.

Serial No.

Suitable site is available and the Hindu residents of the village have no objection for the mosque being built on the site now selected, necessary permission may be granted.

11) The Rev. Com's report may be awaited.

Submit.

30/5/23.

12) Yes

30/5/23

5

No. 158 D 28/7/23

to the Rev. Commr.

For the sketches for reference

6. From, The Rev. Commissioner, Letter no. C. 2832 D 8-7-24

Recommends Sanction.

The Dy. Com's. proposal in view of the Sanction

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

between the Hindus and Mahomedans & Hindus stopping music in front of mosque, and Mahomedans, of Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple), the opening of a new and a separate approach road for the Hindus to take their procession, may be stopped licence may be granted & the construction of the mosque by the Mahomedans, and that 13 guntas of land on which the masjid is to be built will be made over to Mustapha khan — and others & who have purchased the area for Rs 100 from Nandayya, on recovery of Rs 50/- as upset price.

13) The Mus Comrs letter explains the facts of the case.

14) Submit. for orders. Whether the request of the Mus Comr may be granted.

AH 23-7-24

23/7/24

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 388 of 192 2

Serial No.

15) Re Mus. Cust. recommendation may be sanctioned

25.7.24

Rev. Secy

29.7.24

750/297

16) I agree

25.7.24

15.9.24

17) Dft. S.O. submitted.

25.9.24 16.9.24

Rev. Secy

30.9.24

240

3.10.24

132/4.0 18) Please see my remarks in the note

2.10.24

4.0

5/10

6.10.24

19) Dft. S.O. revised and re-submitted.

9/10/24 Submitted for sanction

10/10/24

12.10.24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

479/13.0 20) 2nd member

21) 20/10/24 23.10.24

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 338 of 1922.

Serial No.	
7	To the Muzrai Commr., Revenue Commr'r & Comptroller. No. 625-7 d. 3.11.24. Reference of the Muzrai Commr.'s proposals for the grant of license to the Moplahs of Bidanguru for the construction of a mosque at the place subject to the conditions set forth above.

KM 4.12.24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

C N: 905 of 22 23

23 12 22

1458 1922-23.

From 22-23

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

338 - 22

To

The Mazral Commissioner in Mysore
New Public Office Buildings,
Cenotaph Road, Bangalore.

Dated the 20th December

Despatched do

Received 23/12/22

General No. O/c 743/22-23 1460

No.

Enclosure No 1 dee

Recommending the construction of Hospital Buildings, [illegible] Tank.

28154

C 905 of 22-23
16.1.23 Enc one file.

Forwarded with Compliments, to the Secretary to Govt for favour of orders

16/1/23

K Chandra Sekhar
Dy Comm

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 20th December 1922.

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,

T u m k u r.

To

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esquire, M.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

In submitting herewith the file of correspondence connected with the construction of a Masjid in the Bidanagere Village, of the Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:--

2. The Mahamadans of Bidanagere petitioned to my predecessor, Mr. M.G. Krishnaswami Rao, on the 20th June 1922, stating that the village consisted of about 60 Mahamadan houses, that they were -- greatly inconvenienced for want of a Masjid to offer their prayers, that through the help of their co-religionists they had collected the materials necessary for the construction of the building, that though they wanted to request Government for the free grant of a site, yet for fear that the disposal of the question might take a long time before it was decided, they purchased a private site for the purpose and inspite of a number of petitions presented to the Taluk, requesting for a license, their request had not been granted, and prayed that in consideration of these facts, they might be g granted a license at a very early date. All the petitions said to have been presented by them, are not enclosed in the file. They also petitioned the Amildar on the 4th September 1922, reminding their request. The Amildar after enquiry reported to the

Sub

to the Sub Division Officer that the question of the Construction of the Masjid had to be decided after the acquisition -- proceedings in connection with Resurvey Nos. 57 & 58 (old 43 &44) had been completed as per Government Order No. R.13907-9/L R 22-20-30 dated the 14th June 1922, as the Mahamadans had purchased 13 guntas out of Re.S. No.58, from one Mandayya of Bidanagere, for Rs.100/- (Vide report No.575 dated the 26th Febraay 1922).

3. Resurvey No.58 (old 44) was acquired by Government in -- 1907, for extending the village site and compensation at the rate of Rs.150/- an acre was awarded to the claimants. This number measures 1 acre and 12 guntas, out of which 26 guntas are treated as phut-kharab, which is used by the villagers as -- "Thurumande" i.e., a place where the cattle of the village are collected. The remaining extent of 26 guntas under cultivation was being enjoyed by 2 persons, viz., Thimmayya and Thopayya in equal shares. Thimmayya accepted a compensation of Rs.68-1-0 in March 1908 for his share of 13 guntas including the value of trees, while Thopayya refused to receive Rs.56-5-0, for his -- portion of the 13 guntas. Thereupon, a reference was made to the Court of the Sub Judge, at Bangalore, for the adjudication of the compensation amount, the award of the acquisition Officer, was confirmed in that Court. The amount of Rs.56-5-0 deposited in Court, has lapsed as per rules. It is seen from the records that subsequent to the date of acquisition, Thopayya sold his share of 13 guntas to one Muniya, after whose death, his wife Muniamma sold the above extent with another house of hers, to Mandayya for Rs.400/-. It is from this 2nd purchaser Mandayya that the petitioners Mustafakhan and 3 others purchased the -- 13 guntas of land in December 1921 for Rs.100/-. All the sales above referred to, being subsequent to the date of xx award, are in-operative, and the petitioners were therefore told that their sale-deed was invalid, and that if they wanted the plot of ground they should pay Government the price demanded for it. This

they

This they have agreed to do.

4. Government in their Order of the 14th June 1921, referred to in para 2 supra, have directed the completion of the acquisition proceedings of S.Nos.43 & 44 (new 57 & 58) for extending the village site, the compensation fixed by the Court, being -- advanced in the first instance, and recouped subsequently by sale of sites. When I inspected the Bidanagere village in August last, the people represented to me that they were not at all particular about the extension of their village, as owing to the enhanced -- Court award of Rs.540/- an acre, a prohibitive sum had to be paid by them towards the value of the sites. Under the circumstances, however, referred to in para 4 of the Government Order, since it would not be possible to get back the amount paid in part payment, of the price, it seems necessary to acquire Resurvey No.57 & 58 (old 43 & 44) and dispose of the land later on, when there is a demand for it, the land being temporarily let out for cultivation in the meantime. In any aspect of the case, the extent of 13 --- guntas wanted by the petitioners, for the construction of the ~~m~~ -- Masjid may be given over to them, recovering from them the upset price of the land.

5. As regards the construction of the Masjid, for fear of possible future troubles, due to the play of music etc, before the mosque, I discussed the question both with the Hindus and Mahamadans, on the spot ~~xk~~ and suggested the formation of 2 symmetrical approach roads to the village on either side of the Voni; one from the Kunigal side and the other from Bangalore side, proposing to make over to the parties whose land would be taken up for the formation of such approach roads, portions of the ~~proposed~~ Voni in compensation, so that Mahamadan processions might take the approach road from the Kunigal side, and the Hindu processions the one from the side of Bangalore, -- ~~with~~ both these approaches meeting at a point in the present voni beyond the site prosed for the mosque (vide sketch No.2 prepared under my instructions). Both the newly --- formed ~~xxxxx~~ approaches were to be available, for the Mahamadans

and the Hindus alike, on all but festive occassions, when people are expected to pass in procession with music, in which case, the roads exclusively set apart for the 2 communities had to be used by them. The Amildar was instructed to obtain Mutchalikas from the parties concerned in the light of the instructions given, and submit the records for further action. This arrangement, besides giving symmetrical approaches to the village, was also calculated to avoid all possible misunderstanding. But the Amildar reported that though the Hindus were agreeable to the -- construction of the Masjid in the site proposed, and had no sort of objection to it, they were not in favor of opening the road A C shown in the sketch No.2, as by so doing the "Thurumande" on the kharab portion of S.No.58, would be cut into 2, which -- would greatly inconvenience their cattle, that therefore they proposed that the existing "Voni" might be set apart for the Mahamadans for taking their processions with music, that the proposed road B C (sketch 2) may be got opened by the Mahamadans at their cost, to be used by the Hindus, with procession accompanied with music, and that even at the sacrifice of symmetry, the proposed arrangement might be approved. Sketch No.3 received with his report, is -- enclosed for kind information. The Mahamadans are agreeable to the condition of bearing the cost of opening of the new approach B C and the other arrangements and have executed a mutchalika to that effect.

6. In these circumstances, I request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the construction of the -- Masjid, as all safe-guards against future troubles have been adopted.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

NVK.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2 DFS

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Mus

File No. 336-1/20

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

No. 2340 one

To

The Rev. Fr

Date of issue 21.2.23

Signed by Mr. T.E.J. Ryan

Sec,

A direction to issue

no. C 705 A/19 Exn. 1925

from the Mus. Dept.

[illegible]

Copy of which is herein enclosed for information

Recommending a notice be

the cancellation of [illegible]

[illegible] of Rs. [illegible]

in the name of [illegible]

over.

Copied with enclo 15/2.

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to communicate your views in regard to the acquisition of Nos. 43 and 44 [illegible] Keft by a conveyance including the opening of a new and separate approach road for the Hindus to take their processions and the future part of the present road exclusively for Mahomedan processions.

I have etc.

[illegible]
Exec. Secretary.

[illegible]
8/1/23

1 copy of which is herewith [illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by -

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2065

Diary No. of letter replied to———

Section Mur
File No. 338-M
Serial No 3

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the *191* .

No. 2341
Ouefile

Date of issue 21-2-23

To
The Insp. Gen
Sir,

Signed by Mr. T-E-J-Bryan

Adverting to your memo
No. C 905 Dy 19th Jany. 1923
forwarding for the orders of
Govt. Immediate Dy. Cases.
Case No. 0 C 743 Dy 23-12-22
recommending sanction to the
Construction of a new
mosque at Pedana
in the Kanigiri Taluk,
I am directed to

over.

whether another Site quite unobjectionable from all points of view, cannot be selected for being given to the Mahomedans for the said purpose.

(2) The records received with the Ag Comm Comm under reference, are herewith returned for ref. & re-disposal I have etc.

11/12/13
Asst Secretary

R4 12/12/13

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

C. 905 of 22-23.
encl. ourlr on file

410 2

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 25th May 1923.

From

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN [illegible] Mysore

D2185

To

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.3041/M.F.330-22-3 dated 21st February 1923 regarding the construction of a new Mosque at Bhadragere in the Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.C.R.No.743/22-23 dated 4th May 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, and to recommend that as no other suitable site is available and the Hindu residents of the village have no objection for the Mosque being built on the site now selected, necessary permission may be granted..

2. The file of vernacular records is also submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
for Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent from Station.

D.N.

Letter No.O.C.743/22-23 dated 8th May 1923, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore:--

---

"Referring to your letter No.C.905/22-23 dated the 7th March 1923 forwarding copy of Revenue Secretary's letter No.2341/Muz.338-22-3 dated the 21st February 1923, enquiring whether an other side quite unobjectio able from all points of view for the construction of a Masjid at Bidanagere cannot be selected, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Kunigal who was asked to submit a report in the matter, states that there is no other site better suited for the purpose than the one now selected, and has enclosed a fresh statement taken from the Hindus and Mahomedans, deposing that there is no objection whatever, for the Maszid being built upon the site under reference.

2. The vernacular file with the two sketches is herewith submitted".

Copy.

for Muzrai Commissioner.

25/5/23

Dno 111

2045

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No 2832/22-23. Bangalore 20th/21 July 1923.

FROM

K. C h a n d y, Esq., B.A.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

Dno 3338-22-4

To

The *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your reminder No.3054/Muz. dated 16th June 1923 re: the acquisition of S.Nos.43 and 44 of Bidanagere village for the construction of a new mosque etc, at Bidanagere in Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to invite reference to this office letter No.C.2832/22-23 dated 21st March 1923 and to request that the sketches referred to therein may be kindly forwarded early as they are required for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Revenue Commissioner.

The Records have been with the Muz. Commr. whose report also had been called for in Commr No. 2361/23 dt 21-2-23. Dor. forwarding two records to the Muz. Commr is submitted.

AD 24-7-23

Issue dcg 24/7/23

R. O. 4.

FROM

The *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

Dated 20th July 1923.

Despatched

Received

General No

Enclosure No

SUBJECT

Re. the construction of a mosque at Bidanamere in Kunigal Taluk.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz

File No. 3/15

Serial No. 61

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

No. 158

one file with plan sketch etc

Date of issue 28-7-23

To

The Rev. Comr

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. C. 2832 dt 21st July 1923, I am directed to forward herewith the entire file of records relating to the encroachment of Vidanjur in Kunigal Taluk, relating to the Mosque proposed to be constructed at

to the Executive Engr

over.

at Bidangore in the Kurnigal Taluk and to request that you will be so good as to expedite submission of the report already called for on the subject in this office Conf. No. 2340-338-22 B 21-2-1922.

I have &c.

List of enclosures.

DCS 24/7/22

DC) Revenue Secretary

Copied by

Examined by

WD 056J—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

URGENT.

C. 2832/22-23
re-filed 3 copies

Office of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore 7th July 1924.

8

02438

REV. SECRETARIAT
10 JUN 1924
MUZRAI

From

K. Chandy Esq. B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.23-0 Muz. 738-22-2 dated 21st February 1923 calling for my views on two points in connection with the construction of a masjid at Bidanagere village, Kunigal Taluk, I have the honour to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted papers together with the vernacular records connected therewith and to state as follows:-

1. This office docket to Deputy Commissioner No.2832/Gl.-2-23. dated 26-10-23
2. Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.C.743/22-23 dated 15th April 1924.
3. Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.C.743/22-23 dated 27th June 1924.

2. It will be seen from the Deputy Commissioner's reports of 15th April 1924 and 27th June 1924 that both the Hindus and Mohemadans have agreed to the common use of the existing Voni and executed a mutchalika whereby the Hindus have bound themselves to stop music in front of the mosque and the Mohemadans in their turn have bound themselves to similarly stop music in front of the Anjaneya temple within the village. The Deputy Commissioner has also stated that they have further agreed that there is no objection to any party passing through the Turumarie with music and that the mutchalika is executed by prominent members of both the parties and attested by the Panchayetdars. From the Deputy Commissioner's report it will also be seen that the Amildar has got stones fixed to indicate the distances between which music

should be stopped before the temple and the mosque. In view of this common understanding arrived at between the Hindus and Mohemadans the opening of a new and separate approach road for the Hindus to take their processions is obviated and may be dropped. The Deputy Commissioner brings to notice that the Mohemadans have been eager to build the mosque and have been frequently urging for the grant of a license and recommends that the license may be granted.

3. As regards the question of the acquisition of S.Nos. 43 and 44, Government have ordered in their order No. 13907-9/L.R. 23-20-30 dated 14th June 1921 that the acquisition of the S.Nos should be completed and the Deputy Commissioner now states that what remains yet to be done is only the formal taking possession of the lands ordered to be acquired and their disposal as building sites in accordance with the Government Order. As regards the 0 - 13 guntas on which the masjid is to be built the Deputy Commissioner states in para 3 of his letter of 27th June 1924 that these 13 guntas will be made over to Mustaphakan and others (who have purchased the area for Rs. 100/- from Mandayya) on recovery of Rs. 50/- as upset price.

4. It would have been best if these mutual Mutchalikas had been rendered unnecessary, but as the Mahomedans fear that they would lose the chance of building the mosque unless sanctioned immediately, and as the Hindus have agreed to the terms mentioned above, I beg to recommend the Deputy Commissioner's proposal for sanction.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Revenue Commissioner.

G..R.

Letter No. C.2832/Gl.22-23 dated 25th October 1923 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Referring to your letter No.C.C.743/22-23 dated 20th December 1922 addressed to me as Muzrai Commissioner regarding the construction of a mosque in the Bidangere village in Kunigal Taluk, I have the honour to observe that I am not in favour of reserving the old existing 'one' of the village exclusively for the religious processions of the Mahomedans and another road exclusively for processions of Hindus, as this keeps alive differences that should not be accentuated, and to request you to be so good as to persuade the Mahomedans to waive their objections to the Hindus using the said Voni for taking through it their processions with music as hitherto, and in case the Mahomedans object to the above proposal to direct them to deposit the cost of the acquisition of the portion of Re. S.No. 9f/1 required for the making of the proposed approach Road through it as also the cost of the construction of the road and the tablets to be placed thereon that the new road shall be exclusively used by the Hindus of the village for taking their religious processions.

On receipt of your reply, a recommendation will be made to Government on the subject, but I may say that the first proposal likely to be more acceptable.

Copy.

For Revenue Commissioner.

8/11/24

Letter No. O.C.743/22-23 dated the 27th June 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your docket No.C.2872/22-23, dated 14/15th May 1924, calling for a report on the exact stage at which the acquisition proceedings of S.Nos. 43 and 44 of Bidanagere village in the Kunigal Taluk stands, I have the honour to state as follows:-

The Amildar of Kunigal, who has been asked to submit a report in the matter states, that Government having directed in their order dated 14th June 1921, that the acquisition of S.No. 43 should be completed, the land was acquired and sum of R. 475-12-0 was paid as compensation to the claimants through the Court and the balance of R. 1,118-7-0 has since been drawn and notices have been issued to the parties to appear and receive the award amounts from the Amildar.

2. S.No. 44 consists of 26 guntas of which one half belonged to one Topiah and the other half to another person named Thimmiah. Acquisition proceedings regarding this number were completed in 1908. One of the claimants Thimmiah accepted the award and received his share of compensation. The other person did not receive the payment at the time and it is learnt that he is since dead. The compensation due to him which had been placed in deposit, has lapsed.

3. After the death of this man (Topiah), his portion of 13 guntas out of S.No. 44 went on changing hands and the fourth purchaser one Mandayya, sold it to the persons who have now applied for license to build the mosque. These persons have therefore virtually acquired the rights of the deceased awardee and are entitled to the compensation. Since they have already occupied the acquired land, these 13 guntas will be made over to them formally, on recovering from them the upset price of R. 150/.

It is therefore clear that the acquisition proceedings of these two S.Nos. viz. 43 and 44, of the Bidanagere Vill

are complete and what remains yet to be done is only the formal taking possession of the lands and their disposal as building sites. This will be done very earl

4. As regards the construction of the mosque and the distances up to which the music has to be stopped in front of the temple and the new mosque, the Amildar has submitted an agreement drawn by the representatives of both the Hindus and the Musulman Communities of the village together with two sketches showing the limits where the music should be stopped in front of both the institutions. He has also got the stones fixed near them to indicate the respectiv distances.

5. The Amildar, further, reports in conclusion that the Mahomeda of Bidanagere have been very eager to build the mosque, on the ground that the philanthropic gentleman of their community in Bangalore, who had promised to help them substantially towards the construction of the mosque is dead, and that his son has now undertaken to fulfil his father's promise and in case of delay they apprehend that he might possibly change his mind. They have also collected building materials and if they are not used immdiately, they are likely to be spoilt in the present rainy season. The persons interested in the construction of the mosque have been constantly pressing for the grant of license, whenever I go to Kunigal and loudly complain that their materials are bein spoilt. I therefore request the favour of early orders for the issue of the license, now that the differences that existed betwe the Hindus and Mahomedans have been settled.

There is only one Government Order No.R.13907-9/L.R.27-20-30 dated 14th June 1921 relating to this acquisition question and a copy of this order is enclosed herein as desired in your docket under reply.

The file of vernacular papers ending with Kunigal Taluk Amildar's report No. 1483 dated the 10th May 1924, as per pherist attached thereto, together with the agreement and the sketches referred to in para 4, is also submitted for reference.

Copy.

9/7/24.

For Revenue Commissioner.

Letter No.O.C.843/22-23 dated 15th April 1924. from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.2832/G1.22-23 dated the 25th October 1923, calling for further proposals regarding the construction of a mosque in the Bidangere village, Kunigal Taluk, I have the honour to state that anticipating the observations made by the Revenue Commissioner, I made every attempt to get the parties agree to the common use of the existing Voni, by both the Hindus and Mahomedans on all occasions, and it was only when the attempt proved futile, that the alternative proposal of 2 roads one for each sect, was proposed. The Amildar, who was directed to try his best to bring about a compromise between the parties, by persuading the Mahomedans to allow the Hindus to pass through the Voni with music reported (No.1055 dated 24th January 1924) that such a course was not possible as the Mahomedans were not agreeable to the proposal and that he had recovered from the Mahomedans and deposited in the Treasury a sum of Rs 273/- being the full cost of the new road to be opened for the Hindus and the cost of tablet etc.,.

2. I have to state here that with a view to preserve the symmetry of the roads, I made a further suggestion and caused a fresh sketch (Please vide sketch No.4) to be prepared by deviating the road on the Kunigal side, the advantage secured being that it is now made to run, along-side of the Turumande, which the Hindus did not like should be interfered with, ther taking a turn towards the village, so as to leave the Turumande as far as possible in tact, a small bit only on the corner being taken off. But the Hindus deposed before the Amildar, that they were not agreeable even to this.

3. As the Amildar's report referred to in para 1 did not offer a solution out of the difficulty and as it was also absolutely essential, that the existing 'Voni' should not be set apart for the exclusive use of any one party, I issued orders to the Amildar that the proposed new road for the Mahomedans should be alighned in the survey number to the east of the Turumande. leaving the same entirely untouched and directed him to submit fresh acquisition proposals.

4. In response to the above order. the Amildar reports that he got a meeting of both the parties convened on the 19th ultimo, and advised them with the help of the Panchayetdars selected from the neighbouring villages, to sink their differences and come to a common understanding whereupon the parties agreed to the common use of the existing Voni and executed a mutchalika, whereby the Hindus bound themselves to stop music in front of the mosque, and the Mahomedans in their turn bound themselves to similarly stop music in front of the Anjaneya temple within the village. They have further agreed that there is no objection to any party passing through the Turumande with music. The mutchalika is executed by prominent members of both the parties and attested by the Panchayetdars.

5. As the Amildar had not fixed up the limits on either side of the temple and the mosque within which the music had to be stopped in each case, he was directed to do the same now, and place a stone tablet both in front of the temple and the masjid mentioning the exact distances between the respective limits. In reply, he states that when he went to the Village, he ascertained that the principal Hindu inhabitants had gone to Bangalore and other parts, and that it was not known when they would return to their homes, and adds that as the Mahomedans represented to him

that they had collected materials costing more than R. 2,000/- they were very anxious to start the work and make some progress before the rainy season sets in, that if there should be delay in construction, they feared that they might lose the substantial help promised by the Bangalore gentlemen. He has however been requested in the meantime to work out the details, and fix up the tables as soon as the parties return to the village.

6. In view of the common understanding arrived at between the parties, the Amildar recommends the grant of the license for the construction of the masjid. Now that the question of the opening of the new road etc., is obviated, I request you tobe so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the construction of the mosque, and intimate the fact to me for further action.

7. The vernacular file is enclosed for kind reference.

Copy.

For Revenue Commissioner

8/7/24.

G.K.

From

Mustafakhan & Son.,

Iron Merchants,

63 & 64, Armstrong Road,

Bangalore.

Re: Site for building a mosque & license.

To

The Dewan Sahib

in Mysore, Bangalore.

Most Respected Sir,

I beg to bring to your kind notice that my deceased father Mustafa Khan had a longing desire for building a Mosque at Eidanagerai Village, Kunigal Taluk. With this object in view, he purchased a site and collected materials and applied for a license. Owing to certain objections raised by the Hindus of the place, there was delay in the grant of the license. About six months back, the difference of feeling between the Hindus and the Mussalmans was amicably settled and grant of license was recommended both by the Amildar and the Deputy Commissioner Then objection was raised regarding the site that had been purchased from a private person to say that the said site formed part of a Survey number that had been acquired for Village Extension and as such that the site value has to go to Government. My father died lately and it was his cherished desire that I should carry out his object. I had recently gone to Kunigal and I found the materials, bricks etc are being spoiled. I shall be put to a great loss and the cherished benevolent object of my father will not be fulfilled if the license prayed for is not immediately granted. The endorsement given to me by the Deputy Commissioner is herein enclosed for kind perusal. I gave a petition to the Revenue Commissioner and he was absent from headquarters on the day and his Assistant informed me

that the record has been sent to the Government. If it is settled that the value of the site has to be paid by me afresh, I shall pay the same. There is no other takarar for the grant of the license prayed for. I therefore beg that you will kindly pass urgent orders as otherwise I shall be put to loss on account of loss of materials etc.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Pachaklan
Mustafakhan & Sons

Bangalore,
September 1924.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

--

Read.-

Correspondence ending with letter No. C.905 dated the 26th May 1923 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore recommending the construction of a new mosque at Bidangere in the Kunigal Taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with Memo No. C.2832 dated 6-8-1924 from the Revenue Commissioner on the subject.

625-7 Encumbrance file

Order No. ——— dated 3.11.24

Mzg. 338-22-7

The Mahomedans of Bidangere, Kunigal Taluk, represented that the village consisted of about 60 Mahomedan houses, that great inconvenience was felt for want of a masjid to offer their prayers, that they had purchased 13 guntas out of Re-S. No.58 for Rs. 100/- from one Mandayya of Bidangere for the purpose and prayed for a license to construct a mosque on the above site. The Muzrai Commissioner recommended that as no other suitable site was available and the Hindu residents of the village had no objection for the mosque being built on the site selected, necessary permission might be granted with the stipulation that the existing "Voni" might be set apart for the Mahomedans for taking their processions with music, and that a new approach road might be got opened by the Mahomedans at their cost, to be used by the Hindus for

their processions with music. The Revenue Commissioner was requested to furnish his opinion in regard to the acquisition of the said S.No. as well as No. 57, and also in the matter of the opening of a new and separate approach road. The Revenue Commissioner reports that both the Hindus and Mahomedans have agreed to the common use of the existing "Voni" and have executed a Mutchalika whereby the Hindus have bound themselves to stop music in front of the mosque and the Mahomedans in their turn have bound themselves to ~~m~~ similarly stop music in front of the Anjaneya temple within the village and have further agreed~~s~~ to raise no objection to any party passing through the Turumande with music and that the Mutchalika is executed by prominent members of both the parties and attested by the Panchayatdars. While recommending sanction to the above proposal, the Revenue Commissioner states that in view of the above undertaking, the opening of a new approach road for Hindu processions may be dropped, that license may be granted for the construction of the mosque by the Mahomedans, and that the 13 guntas of land on which the masjid is proposed to be built may be made ~~m~~ over to Mustapha Khan and others on recovery of Rs. 50/- as upset price.

2. Under the circumstances reported,

Government

Govt - approve / Rs 4000/- / Rev Comm

and ~~Government~~ are pleased to accord sanction for the construction of the mosque ~~at Bidar~~ - ~~ree~~ as recommended by them.

May be printed.

12 10/11.

Revenue Secretary to Govt.

2/15/20

Fair draft)

To

The Muzrai Commissioner.

The Revenue Commissioner (returning enclosures)

The Comptroller to Government

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G. O. No. 625-7/Muz. 338 22-7 Dated Bangalore, 3rd November 1924

Mosque at Bidangere

Sanctions the Revenue Commissioner's recommendation for the construction of a -----Kunigal Taluk.

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter No. C.905 dated the 26th May 1923 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore recommending the construction of a new mosque at Bidangere in the Kunigal Taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with Memo No. C.2832 dated the 6th August 1924 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore on the subject.

ORDER NO.625-7/MUZ.338-22-7 DATED 3RD NOVEMBER 1924.

The Mahomedans of Bidangere, Kunigal Taluk, represented that the village consisted of about 60 Mahomedan houses, that great inconvenience was felt for want of a masjid to offer their prayers, and that they had purchased 13 guntas out of Re-Survey No.58 for Rs.100 from one Mandayya of Bidangere for the purpose and prayed for a license to construct a mosque on the above site. The Muzrai Commissioner recommended that as no other suitable site was available and the Hindu residents of the village had no objection for the mosque being built on the site selected, necessary permission might be granted with the stipulation that the existing "Voni" might be set apart for the Mahomedans for taking their processions with music, and that a new approach road might be got opened by the Mahomedans at their cost, to be used by the Hindus for their processions with music. The Revenue Commissioner was requested to furnish his opinion in regard to the acquisition of the said Survey Number as well as No.57, and also in the matter of the opening of a new and separate approach road. The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore reports that both the Hindus and Mahomedans have agreed to the common use of the existing "Voni" and have executed a Mutchalika whereby the Hindus have bound themselves to stop music in front of the mosque and the Mahomedans in their turn have bound themselves to similarly stop music in front of the Anjaneya temple within the village and have further agreed to raise no objection to any party passing through the *Turumande* with music and that the Mutchalika is executed by prominent members of both the parties and attested to by the Panchayatdars. While recommending sanction to the above proposal, the Revenue Commissioner states that in view of the above undertaking, the opening of a new approach road for Hindu processions may be dropped, that license

may be granted for the construction of the mosque by the Mahomedans, and that the 13 guntas of land on which the Masjid is proposed to be built may be made over to Mustapha Khan and others on recovery of Rs.50 as upset price.

3. Under the circumstances reported, Government approve of the proposal of the Revenue Commissioner and are pleased to accord sanction for the construction of the mosque as recommended by him.

K. V. ANANTARAMAN,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To--The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore (returning enclosures).